# Fuels modeling and fuel management strategies

Andrew Latimer – UC Davis Department of Plant Sciences latimer.ucdavis.edu



Collaborators: Derek Young Susan Ustin Alex Koltunov Brian Bailey LeRoy Westerling



### Outline

- Fuels, plants, and vegetation types
- What fuels models exist?
  - LandFire, ongoing projects
- Strategies for improving representations of fuels
- Fuel management tools and trends

### Fuels are (mostly) plants





### Fuel buildup or climate change causing surge in fire? – of course it's both

how they interact depends on the vegetation type







Keeley & Syphard 2019 https://fireecology.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s42408-019-0041-0

# Grasslands -- fine, fast-growing continuous, mostly dead fuels, little fuels buildup.





Photos: Truman Young

### Chaparral – fine continuous crown fuels, mostly live, so fuel moisture high more of the year





### Shrubland fires in foehn wind conditions are uncontrollable, less influenced by fuel amount



Forests – multilayered fuels with complex, multimodal fire behavior. Most room to modify fire behavior via fuels management & fire use







### Current fuels models

- LandFire: 30m grid with many fuels attributes at that resolution
  - E.g. vegetation type, canopy bulk density, inputs to fire behavior models.
- Westerling's 1/16 degree LULC, fuels and carbon layers
- And more, plus:
- Ongoing concurrent projects (e.g. CEC)



Ideas about how to improve resolution and accuracy of fuels models

- Increase accuracy of CA vegetation maps (Ustin, Koltunov)
- Better predict regrowth rates and fuels buildup after fire within vegetation types
- Represent fuel structure in a way that's useful to higher-resolution fire models (LiDAR, machine learning / image processing)
- Considering flammability, vulnerability, and arrangement of buildings

### Remote sensing: Watching plants grow from space

• Maps of fires



#### Time series for individual pixels after fire events



Age (time since fire)

Inference: Climate-driven variation in regrowth rates



# Modeling spatial variation in shrubland regrowth rates



### Machine learning: Image classification & regression



- Thousands of plots with ground-based fuels measurements AND photographs (US Forest Service Region 5 ecology program, our lab, other labs)
- Paired ground fuels measurements (by hand, by surface LiDAR) and drone photography
- Exploring using convolutional neural nets to predict attributes of fuel (image regression)

Photos: Derek Young, Ashley Gruppenhoff, Hugh Safford

# WUI fuels: characteristics of buildings can dominate fire behavior and damage



Paradise after the Camp Fire <u>https://www.sacbee.com/news/california/article230015334.html</u>

### What to do: fuels management approaches

- **Prescribed burning** Can be less expensive than mechanical treatments, more ecologically effective
- But produces smoke itself
- California planned to ramp up "pace and scale" by 20x this year
- Mechanical fuels treatments
- Wildland fire use

#### Do Fuel Treatments Work? Angora Fire, Lake Tahoe Basin





#### UNTREATED



TREATED

Landscape Treatment: Model simulations of fire spread with different placements of thinning treatments (Finney)



- a) untreated, homogenous fuel conditions
- b) random treatments,
- c) parallel strip treatments,
- d) strategic, slanted overlapped treatments

### Potential strategy at local scale: Use topography to arrange fuels treatments

Variable density forest matching historical conditions with frequent fire.

Higher and lowerdensity landscape facets.



Adapted from Malcolm North (USFS and UC Davis)

#### Potential strategy at the large scale: Treatment and wildfire use zoning

#### National forest plan revisions

- **Blue area on map:** Presumption to let fires burn unless strong reason not to.
- **Red area:** Full suppression, intensive fuels reduction around people and infrastructure.



### Thank you

